## 2009/10 NPI quarterly report Q1 (Apr - Jun 2009)

Cells shaded grey identify not applicable, not required, calculated automatically or information.

Cells shaded turquoise identify data required from lead officer.

TMBC's 2008/09 results and 2009/10 targets are compared with All English authorities based on 2007/08 comparative data provided by the Audit Commission, where possible.

Previous data

1 F

#### Top Quartile performance

Mid Range performance

Bottom Quartile performance

performan	of travel - compares current ce against performance for the same e period of the previous year.		Pe
+	Better than prior performance	Y	Target
=	Same as prior performance	Ν	Target
-	Worse than prior performance	С	Cumula

Current data

			FIE	vious data								Curren	i uala
Number/Description	Lead officer	2007/08 result	2007/08 top/bottom quartile entry points	2008/09 target	2008/09 Q1 Apr-Jun	2008/09 result		)9/10 rget	2009/10 year to date (Q1) Apr-Jun	Direction of travel (+/=/–)	Target achieved/ on profile (Y/N)	>10% from 2008/09 result?	>10% from 2009/10 target?
Central Services													
NP14 The proportion (percentage) of customer contact that is of low or no value to the customer.	Bruce Hill (co-ordinator)		New in 2008/09	)	No data	3.3	No	t set	0.8	Not comparable	No target	Not comparable	No target
NP182 Satisfaction of businesses with local authority regulation services (score out of 100).	Bruce Hill (co-ordinator)		New in 2008/09	)	77	80	8	80	79	+	N	No	No
Environmental Health Services													
NP184 Percentage of food establishments in the area which are broadly compliant with food hygiene law.	Jane Heeley	New i	n 2008/09	80	89	88	8	88	88	· ·	Y	No	No
NP191 Kilograms of residual household waste per household.			New in 2008/09		142	563	5	55	135	+	Y	Yes	Yes
NP192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting.		40.60	No comparative data	46.30	49.29	46.24	46	6.40	49.18		Y	No	No
NP195-litter Percentage of relevant land and highways assessed as having an unacceptable level of deposits of litter.		8	No comparative data	6	No data	5		5				No data	No data
NP195-detritus Percentage of relevant land and highways assessed as having an unacceptable level of deposits of detritus.		8	No comparative data	7	No data	6		6				No data	No data
NP195-graffiti Percentage of relevant land and highways from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible.	Phil Beddoes	4	1 5	4	No data	1		1				No data	No data
NP195-fly-posting Percentage of relevant land and highways from which unacceptable levels of fly-posting are visible.		1	0 1	0	No data	0		0				No data	No data

# Annex 1 erformance against 2009/10 target. t being achieved/on profile. t not being achieved/not on profile. lative performance Explanation of variance from target, actions to achieve target/positive direction of travel 10 and other comments et? Q1 result is based on monitoring of only four waste and street scene processes. The vast majority of contacts are first-time (unavoidable) requests for service. Overall reduction in waste collected linked to economic downturn Monitoring is based on three periods each of four months so cannot be reported quarterly. Monitoring is based on three periods each of four months so cannot be reported quarterly. Monitoring is based on three periods each of four months so cannot be reported quarterly. Monitoring is based on three periods each of four months so cannot be reported quarterly.

## 2009/10 NPI quarterly report Q1 (Apr - Jun 2009)

Cells shaded grey identify not applicable, not required, calculated automatically or information.

Cells shaded turquoise identify data required from lead officer.

TMBC's 2008/09 results and 2009/10 targets are compared with All English authorities based on 2007/08 comparative data provided by the Audit Commission, where possible.

Top Quartile performance

Mid Range performance

ottom Quartile performance

performan	of travel - compares current ce against performance for the same e period of the previous year.		Pei
+	Better than prior performance	Y	Target
=	Same as prior performance	N	Target
-	Worse than prior performance	С	Cumula

			Pre	vious data	a							Currer	nt data	
Number/Description	Lead officer	2007/08 result	2007/08 top/bottom quartile entry points	2008/09 target	2008/09 Q1 Apr-Jun	2008/09 result	2009 tar	9/10 get	2009/10 year to date (Q1) Apr-Jun	Direction of travel (+/=/–)	Target achieved/ on profile (Y/N)		>10% from 2009/10 target?	
NP196 Improved street and environmental cleanliness - effectiveness in reducing fly-tipping.		2	1 3	1	3	3	1	l	2	+	N	Yes	Yes	
Housing Services NP155 Number of affordable homes delivered (gross).		239	No comparative data	240	51	251	19	0	40	C –	N	Not comparable	Not comparable	4
NP156 Number of households living in Temporary Accommodation.	Janet Walton	78	No comparative data	55	No data	39	3	5	44	Not comparable	N	Yes	Yes	

# Annex 1 erformance against 2009/10 target. t being achieved/on profile. t not being achieved/not on profile. lative performance •10% Explanation of variance from target, actions rom to achieve target/positive direction of travel 009/10 and other comments rget? 2009/10 Q1 - Number of enforcement actions increased but number of fly-tipping incidents remained at similar levels to last year. This

means we are marked as grade 2 =

2008/09 - Note change of result from 1 = "Very effective" to 3 "Not effective". Number of enforcement actions increased but total number of fly-tips also increased between 2007/08 and 2008/09 from 684 to 719.

40 intermediate rent units at Holborough

"Effective".

2009/10 NPI quarterly report Q1 (Apr - Jun 2009)			TMBC's 2008/09 results and 2009/10 targets are compared with All English authorities based on 2007/08 comparative data provided by the Audit Commission, where possible.					n of travel - o ance against i ive period of	e Perf				
Cells shaded grey identify not applicable, not required,	calculated	Top Quart	tile performanc	е			+	Better that	n p	rior performa	ance	Y	Target bei
automatically or information.		Mid Rang	e performance				=	Same as p	orio	or performan	се	Ν	Target no
Cells shaded turquoise identify data required from lead	officer.	Bottom Q	uartile perform	ance			-	Worse that	n p	prior perform	ance	С	Cumulativ
			Pre	vious data	1							Currer	nt data
Number/Description	Lead officer	2007/08 result	2007/08 top/bottom quartile entry points	2008/09 target	2008/09 Q1 Apr-Jun	2008/09 result	2009/1 target			Direction of travel (+/=/–)	Target achieved/ on profile (Y/N)		>10% from 2009/10 target?
Financial Services NP180 The number of changes of circumstances which affect customers' Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit entitlement within the year.	Andrew		New in 2008/0	9	No data	No data	7,000.0	0 6,907.0	с	Polarity not clear	Polarity not clear	Not comparable	Not comparable
P181 verage time taken to process Housing enefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change vents.	Andrew Rosevear		New in 2008/0	9	No data	No data	15.0	13.2		Not comparable	Y	Not comparable	Yes

## Annex 1 rformance against 2009/10 target. being achieved/on profile. not being achieved/not on profile. tive performance Explanation of variance from target, actions to achieve target/positive direction of travel 0 and other comments ? The 2009/10 target is the number of changes to benefit entitlement that we expect to deal with during the year. The number that we have actually dealt with each month is supplied to us by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). However, we believe the figure the DWP supplied for April has been bl incorrectly calculated. The figures supplied for May and June appear to be accurate. Were the average number of changes for those two months to continue for the rest of this year, the target we set for the year (7,000) would appear to be realistic. The quarterly result is based on our calculations, which might differ from the result calculated by the DWP when published. The data used for calculating the result for the first

quarter contains a higher proportion of changes in circumstances than is likely to be the case for the remaining three quarters. As changes in circumstances will be more speedily processed than new claims, this will have the effect of reducing the number of days in the first quarter in comparison with the

remaining three quarters.

## 2009/10 NPI quarterly report Q1 (Apr - Jun 2009)

Cells shaded grey identify not applicable, not required, calculated automatically or information.

Cells shaded turquoise identify data required from lead officer.

TMBC's 2008/09 results and 2009/10 targets are compared with All English authorities based on 2007/08 comparative data provided by the Audit Commission, where possible.

Previous data

2008/09

Q1

Apr-Jun

87.50

70.32

90.77

2008/09

result

72.73

75.65

91.21

#### Top Quartile performance

Mid Range performance

ottom Quartile performance

							Annex 1			
<b>Direction of travel</b> - compares current performance against performance <b>for the same</b> <b>cumulative period</b> of the previous year.						Perfor	mance against 2009/10 target.			
+	Better than	р	rior performa	ance	Y	Target bein	ng achieved/on profile.			
=	Same as pr	rio	r performan	се	Ν	Target not	being achieved/not on profile.			
-	Worse than	n p	rior perform	ance	С	Cumulative	performance			
					Curren	it data				
2009/10 target	2009/10 year to date (Q1) Apr-Jun		Direction of travel (+/=/–)	Target achieved/ on profile (Y/N)	>10% from 2008/09 result?	>10% from 2009/10 target?	Explanation of variance from target, actions to achieve target/positive direction of travel and other comments			
70.00	69.23		-	Ν	No	No	Less than 1% point from target. Subject to sensitivity due to relatively few number of cases.			
77.00	70.69		+	Ν	No	No	"Minor" applications include significant schemes (eg: up to ten dwellings) and is the category where the greatest increase in complexity of cases has been experienced.			
90.00	02.21			V	No	No				

Number/Description	Lead officer	2007/08 result	2007/08 top/bottom quartile entry points	2008/09 target
Planning Services				
NP157-major Percentage of <b>major</b> planning applications determined within 13 weeks.		67.27	79.07 62.96	70.00
NP157-minor Percentage of <b>minor</b> planning applications determined within 8 weeks.	Lindsay Pearson	76.50	83.66 71.62	77.00
NP157-other Percentage of <b>other</b> planning applications determined within 8 weeks.		90.38	91.82 84.00	90.00

							Annex 1				
performan	of travel - co ce against po e period of	erf	formance <b>fo</b>	r the same		Perfor	mance against 2009/10 target.				
+	Better than	pr	ior performa	ance	Y	Target bein	ng achieved/on profile.				
=	Same as pr	io	r performan	ce	N	Target not	being achieved/not on profile.				
-	Worse than	ı p	rior perform	ance	с	Cumulative	performance				
					Curren	t data					
2009/10 target	2009/10 year to date (Q1) Apr-Jun		Direction of travel (+/=/–)	Target achieved/ on profile (Y/N)	>10% from 2008/09 result?	>10% from 2009/10 target?	Explanation of variance from target, actions to achieve target/positive direction of trave and other comments				
70.00	69.23		-	Ν	No	No	Less than 1% point from target. Subject to sensitivity due to relatively few number of cases.				
77.00	70.69		+	Ν	No	No	"Minor" applications include significant schemes (eg: up to ten dwellings) and is the category where the greatest increase in complexity of cases has been experienced.				
90.00	92.31		+	Y	No	No					